

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/658,157	09/09/2003	David Lloyd		5740	
7:	590 05/12/2004		EXAM	INER	
David L. Neary 711 Manchester Trail Drive			SPITZER, ROBERT H		
Spring, TX 77373			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		·	1724		
			DATE MAILED: 05/12/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4						
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/658,157	NEARY, DAVID LLOYD				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Robert H. Spitzer	1724				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with t	the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS c, cause the application to become ABANI	be timely filed O) days will be considered timely. From the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 D	ecember 2003.					
<u> </u>						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 						
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 09 September 2003 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	are: a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square odrawing(s) be held in abeyance. tion is required if the drawing(s) i	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	s have been received. s have been received in Appl rity documents have been rec u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ication No ceived in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 09/09/2003.	Paper No(s)/M	mary (PTO-413) ail Date nal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

Application/Control Number: 10/658,157

Art Unit: 1724

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because of the use of the legal phraseology of "means". Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 2. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

- 3. On the figures of drawing, the following needs to be corrected: on Fig. 1, there is no description in the specification of numbers 23 and 25; and, on Fig. 4, there is no description in the specification of numbers 73 and 78. Either such description must be added to the specification or the numbers must be deleted from the figures.
- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 1 is indefinite because "the said" should not be used together, as each word, individually, has a different legal meaning in the writing of claims. Claim 1 is further indefinite because when "particularly applied" is used, it is not known whether the specific "air separation" is necessary to meet this claim or whether the more generic gas separation is sufficient. Claim 2 is indefinite because in lines 5

Application/Control Number: 10/658,157

Art Unit: 1724

and 9, the use of "preferred" is the same as "particularly applied" with respect to the limitations it places on this claim. Claim 2 is further indefinite because it ends in a ";", therefore, it is not known whether there are additional recitations missing or if this is merely a puncuation error. Claims 3 and 4 are indefinite because they depend from the above indefinite claims.

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by the disclosure of either Schmidt et al. (4,892,565) or Grgich et al. (5,632,802), wherein the feed gas is sent to the adorber vessels for the adsorption step under the influence of a vacuum pump and not by a feed gas compressor or blower.
- 8. The remaining references listed on both the PTO-1449 and the PTO-892 show art of interest.
- 9. Applicant's response to this Office action should also include the following editorial changes: page 2, line 4, "be" should be canceled; page 2, lines 8 and 9, either "the" or "said" should be canceled; page 2, line 10, either "The" or "said" should be canceled; page 4, line 20, "to a" should be "to an"; page 5, line 1, "a" should be "an"; page 7, line 1, "provide a " should be "provide an"; page 8, lines 3 and 17, "a" should be "an"; page 11, line 5, "includes" should be "include"; page 13, line 13, "contains" should be "contain"; page 14, lines 6 and 7, either "the" or "said" should be canceled; page 15,

Art Unit: 1724

line 7, "a" should be "an"; page 16, line 17, "advances" should be "advance"; page 18, line 7, "enables" should be "enable"; page 18, line 13, "in" should be inserted after "shown"; page 20, line 19, either "the" or "said" should be canceled; page 21, line 5, "shows" should be "show"; page 22, line 11, "shows" should be "show"; page 23, line 9, "be" should be inserted after "can"; page 28, line 17, "a" should be "an"; page 33, line 20, "a" should be "an"; and, page 36, line 2, "a" should be "an".

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert H. Spitzer whose telephone number is (571) 272-1167. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from (5:30AM-4:00PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Blaine Copenheaver can be reached on (571) 272-1156. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

May 4, 2004

Robert H. Spitzer

Application/Control Number: 10/658,157

Art Unit: 1724

Page 5

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1724 May 4, 2004